

SPECTRAL FEATURES OF DENUDATINE ALKALOIDS FROM *Delphinium dictyocarpum* AND *D. corymbosum* AND THEIR DERIVATIVES

B. T. Salimov

UDC 547.944/945

PMR and electron-impact (EI) mass spectral data of the denudatine alkaloids with saturated C(16)–C(17) bonds dictysine (**1**), N-ethyl-de-N-methyldictysine (**2**), dehydrodictysine (**3**), corumdzine (**4**), corumdzinine (**5**), and cordizine (**6**) that were isolated from *Delphinium dictyocarpum* DC. or *D. corymbosum* Rgl. have been reported [1–4]. It seemed interesting to compare these data with those for the alkaloids yesoxine (**7**) and gomandonine (**8**) [5, 6], which differ from **1** by the presence of additional oxygen functional groups on C-1 and C-13 and C(15)-hydroxy-C(16),C(17)-epoxy in ring D instead of an α,β,γ -triol. Thus, signals for C(16)–CH₂ protons shift sharply to weaker field in the PMR spectrum, as expected on going from **7** and **8** to **4** and **5**, in which this group forms a methylenedioxy group. However, the chemical shifts (CS) approach values typical of compounds with an open chain. An analogous picture is observed for the PMR spectra of **7** and **8** compared with those of the acetonides of dictysine (**9**) [2] and N-ethyl-de-N-methyldictysine (**10**) [4]. The difference in the CS values for the signals of the C(16)–CH₂ group decreases sharply in the PMR spectrum of the acetonide of dehydrodictysine (**11**) [2], which has a C(15)=O and C(16),C(17)-acetonide.

Examination of models revealed that one of the protons of this group in **11** can be influenced by the strong anisotropic field of the C(15)=O. As a result of this, the corresponding signal undergoes a diamagnetic shift.

The EI mass spectra of **4–6** and **10** [3, 4] showed that they undergo fragmentation similar to that of **1**, **3**, and their derivatives [1, 2]. Peaks for ions with m/z 270 and 186 in the spectra of **4**, **6**, and **10** are due to the presence in them of an N-ethyl instead of an N-methyl. One peculiarity of the fragmentation of **4** and **5** is the appearance of [M - 1]⁺, [M - 47]⁺, and [M - 59]⁺ ions, which is connected with the presence in them of a C(16),C(17)-methylenedioxy group. A distinguishing feature of the fragmentation of **3** and **6**, which have a C-15 carbonyl, is the generation of [M - 45]⁺ for the former and [M - 28]⁺ for the latter [3].

The mass spectral results for **7** and **8** [5, 6] lead to the conclusion that the principal fragmentation pathway for them is apparently due to initial rupture of the C(10)–C(20) bond because the base peaks in their spectra are [M - 17]⁺ or [M - 43]⁺, which are formed from the molecular ion by loss of the substituent on C-1 [7]. Fragmentation of macrocentrine (**12**) [8], which differs from **2** by additional hydroxyls on C-2 and C-3, is dominated by a process beginning after initial rupture of the C(7)–C(20) bond because the base peak in the spectrum of this compound is the molecular ion. The intensities of the peaks for [M - 17]⁺ and [M - 35]⁺ are about the same as those for analogous ions that appear during fragmentation of dictysine (**1**) [1].

These data lead to the following conclusions. If the base peak is the molecular ion and peaks for [M - 17]⁺, [M - 35]⁺, and [M - 91]⁺ appear in the spectra of denudatine alkaloids with a saturated C(16)–C(17) bond, they contain the sequence CH(OH)–C(OH)–CH₂OH in ring D [1, 2]. If [M - 28]⁺ or [M - 45]⁺ is the base peak or [M - 47]⁺ is the base peak and the [M - 1]⁺ and [M - 59]⁺ peaks are strong in the spectra of these compounds, they contain a C(15)-carbonyl or C(16),C(17)-methylenedioxy, respectively, and lack a substituent on C-1.

REFERENCES

1. B. T. Salimov, M. S. Yunusov, Ya. V. Rashkes, and S. Yu. Yunusov, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 812 (1979).
2. B. T. Salimov, B. Tashkhodzhaev, and M. S. Yunusov, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 86 (1982).

S. Yu. Yunusov Institute of the Chemistry of Plant Substances, Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, fax (99871) 120 64 75, e-mail: root@icps.org.uz. Translated from *Khimiya Prirodnikh Soedinenii*, No. 6, pp. 504–505, November–December, 2004. Original article submitted June 14, 2004.

3. R. Shakirov, M. V. Telezhenetskaya, I. A. Bessonova, S. F. Aripova, I. A. Israilov, M. N. Sultankhodzhaev, V. I. Vinogradova, V. I. Akhmedzhanova, T. S. Tulyaganov, B. T. Salimov, and V. A. Tel'nov, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 410 (1996).
4. R. Shakirov, M. V. Telezhenetskaya, I. A. Bessonova, S. F. Aripova, I. A. Israilov, M. N. Sultankhodzhaev, V. I. Vinogradova, V. I. Akhmedzhanova, T. S. Tulyaganov, B. T. Salimov, and V. A. Tel'nov, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 957 (1996).
5. H. Bando, K. Wada, T. Amiya, K. Kobayashi, Y. Fujimoto, and T. Sakurai, *Heterocycles*, **26**, 2623 (1987).
6. S. Sakai, T. Okazaki, K. Yamaguchi, H. Takayama, and N. Aimi, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **35**, 2615 (1987).
7. M. S. Yunusov, Ya. V. Rashkes, V. A. Tel'nov, and S. Yu. Yunusov, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 515 (1969).
8. M. N. Benn, F. Okanga, J. F. Richardson, and R. M. Manavu, *Heterocycles*, **26**, 2331 (1987).